Ali Bin Shahid

#161: The Earth Sauna— First Thread of a Hidden Weave

How Arctic vapor emissions are reshaping Northern Hemisphere climate — from fog belts to jetstreams to melt zones. A journey into the feedbacks the models forgot.

Let’s begin not with satellite data, but with your skin.
You sit in a sauna. The air is dry.
Warm, yes — but not yet immersive.
Then someone pours a ladle of water on the stones.

Ssssshhh.

A hiss. Then a swirl.
The heat doesn’t increase. It changes.
It stops being something you feel on your skin —
and becomes something you feel within.

What just happened?

The water, evaporating, carried with it a hidden payload — energy.
2.45 million joules per kilogram, stored quietly as latent heat inside each gram of vapor. It didn’t disappear. It traveled — invisible, unmeasured, underestimated — until it condensed again, releasing all its energy instantly, locally, and often unpredictably.

This isn't an analogy for the climate system.

It is the **exact mechanism** by which water, when transformed into vapor, becomes one of the most powerful atmospheric transport agents on Earth.

What happens in a sauna also happens at scale in the Arctic sky. This illustration shows how warm reservoir water, held at ~4°C, is suddenly released into an atmosphere that’s -30°C. As the water exits the spillway, it meets frigid air, triggering immediate vaporization and fog formation. But this fog isn’t just a visual effect — it’s latent heat on the move. Each gram of evaporated water carries 2.45 million joules of energy, released only when condensation occurs — often far downstream and far aloft. This is not just evaporation. It is atmospheric reprogramming — the first step in a delayed, displaced heat release system that reshapes climate feedbacks from Quebec to Greenland.

Phase Change: The Overlooked Engine of Global Energy Transport

Climate models correctly emphasize radiative imbalance as a central driver of global warming. But in their precision, they often miss the mechanisms by which energy actually moves—not just as radiation, but as vapor. Water, through its phase transitions, may be the most under-credited force in the planetary heat engine.

Evaporation is energy storage.
Condensation is energy release.

Each gram of vapor carries 2.45 million joules per kilogram—silent, invisible, unmeasured—until it finds a place to condense. That moment of condensation does more than form clouds. It alters the structure of the sky.

This is why:

- Hurricanes intensify explosively over warm ocean water.
- Tropical forests cool themselves and their surroundings through vapor emission, initiating atmospheric draw.
- Steam burns deeper than fire because it transfers latent heat directly into the skin.

But the atmospheric significance of this phase-state transport came into sharper focus with the work of **Anastassia Makarieva** and **Victor Gorshkov**, who introduced a radical reframing: the **biotic pump theory**. In their model, forests do not simply participate in climate — they regulate it. Through sustained transpiration and subsequent condensation over the canopy, they create low-pressure zones that draw moist air inland from the oceans. The forest becomes an engine — not metaphorically, but mechanically — by leveraging the implosive force of condensation to generate pressure gradients.

This is not just theory. The model predicts pressure differences, wind vectors, and rainfall patterns with uncanny accuracy in forested regions. The implication is profound: remove the forest, and you not only reduce evapotranspiration, you collapse the mechanism that pulls moisture from sea to land.

And it is here that **Peter Bunyard's experiments** bring physical clarity.

Building on this insight, Bunyard designed an experiment to test whether **condensation alone could induce airflow**. In a sealed glass chamber, he introduced humid air, then cooled the upper boundary to induce condensation. Without any change in wind or external force, condensation alone triggered sharp increases in airflow inside the chamber. Cooling alone did little. But the moment condensation occurred — air began to move. Predictably. Powerfully.

This airflow wasn't driven by rising warm air. It was driven by **volume collapse** — the implosive contraction of water vapor into liquid, reducing volume by a factor of 1,700 and creating an immediate local vacuum. This, in turn, drew surrounding air inward. The same mechanism that once powered the Newcomen atmospheric engine — where cooling steam created vacuum pressure to lift pistons — was now shown to operate at microclimatic scale.

The numbers were clear:

- **Cooling without condensation**: negligible airflow (< 0.01 m/s)
- **Condensation active**: sustained airflow > 1.0 m/s

Even though latent heat releases ~2.25 kJ/g during condensation, and the cooling effect of implosion is just 0.17 kJ/g, it is **the latter** — the vacuum effect — that drives circulation. The latent heat disperses. The implosion concentrates.

In Bunyard's setup, this created localized winds. In the Amazon rainforest, **it powers a biotic engine** that pulls ocean moisture thousands of kilometers inland. Bunyard's data aligns with observed 10 m/s winds entering the continent from the Atlantic — suggesting that the same principle applies across scales. The Amazon doesn't just receive rain. It **pulls** it.

And yet, in engineered systems — such as hydroelectric reservoirs — we release vapor **without the pump.** Without the trees. Without the CCNs. The result? Vapor accumulates. Condensation is delayed. Implosions are weaker. Circulation stalls.

This difference matters. In natural systems, **condensation completes the cycle**. In engineered systems, it's suspended — and when it does occur, it often does so at altitudes and timescales that bypass the vacuum effect. The latent heat still enters the system — but without the force that would have pulled the atmosphere inward.

So what happens when the sky becomes saturated, but cannot resolve its own saturation?

You get fog. Inversions. Stagnant pressure fields. Disrupted rainfall. And ultimately — the weakening of one of the planet's most elegant feedback loops.

Anastassia Makarieva called it climate's biotic heart.
Peter Bunyard proved it can beat.

Now the question is: are we letting that heart stall in the name of clean energy?

Two pathways — one stalled, one self-sustaining. On the left: vapor accumulates without forming clouds due to low CCN (cloud condensation nuclei), low vertical lift, and high humidity — resulting in fog, not rain. The energy remains suspended, unconverted, and uncirculated. On the right: cloud formation is catalyzed by sufficient CCN, allowing condensation to close the loop. This triggers **implosive contraction** — a vacuum effect that draws in air and powers circulation. As condensation proceeds, **latent heat is released** at altitude, energizing the atmosphere and reinforcing cloud development. This is the phase-change engine described by Makarieva's biotic pump theory and demonstrated in Bunyard's experiments — a feedback loop that forests activate, but dams fail to replicate. Without condensation closure, vapor becomes heat with no trajectory.

But Before the Vapor — The Carbon

Not all emissions come from smokestacks. Some rise from drowned shorelines—where trees rot underwater, peat ferments in the shadows, and long-stored carbon begins to stir.

Photo by [Alberto Tolentino] on [Unsplash]

Hydropower is often sold as clean. Low-carbon. A benign partner in our planetary repair. But the reality beneath the surface is more complicated—especially in cold, boreal latitudes where decomposition slows, and methane waits like a delayed fuse.

In these regions, reservoirs behave less like batteries and more like biogeochemical reactors. Organic matter—trapped under still, anaerobic water—doesn't simply dissolve. It transforms. Microbes feast. Methanogenesis begins. And in the absence of oxygen, **CH_4**—not **CO_2**—becomes the dominant emission.

And methane, as we know, is no minor character.
It's more than 80 times more potent than CO_2 over a 20-year horizon.

Above the surface, a second emission pathway unfolds: warm water discharged from the dam interacts with -30°C Arctic air, releasing massive amounts of latent heat as vapor. This seasonal pulse of energy — uncounted in carbon inventories — travels downwind, contributing to Arctic amplification. Together, these two flows — *gas below, vapor above* — form an infrastructural climate feedback that standard models rarely capture, but nature already feels.

> Here's where the story tightens:
> **Some of the very same dams implicated in vapor-driven warming also carry some of the highest carbon footprints in the hydropower sector**

For instance …

- **Brisay–Caniapiscau**: Estimated lifecycle emissions of **2,265 gCO_2e/kWh**, according to Scherer & Pfister (2016), which assessed the full biogenic carbon footprint of hydropower reservoirs in boreal zones.
- **Robert-Bourassa**: Produces around **400 gCO_2e/kWh**, as noted by Barros et al. (2011) and confirmed in Hydro-Québec's internal assessments reported by McCully (1996).

- **Eastmain-1**: In its first year, emitted at **coal-equivalent** levels (approaching 1,000 gCO_2e/kWh), before declining to **natural gas** levels (~400 gCO_2e/kWh) by year three, as documented in Teodoru et al. (2012).

These aren't obscure or outdated installations. They are the **crown jewels of Quebec's hydroelectric fleet** — feeding power into New York, Ontario, and beyond, under the banner of "clean" energy.

And their geography matters. Because these are the very systems that sit **beneath the Arctic vapor corridor** — the same plume that traces its way toward Greenland.

What this suggests is unsettling but increasingly undeniable:

- Some of the most significant contributors to regional Arctic amplification may be dual-mode emitters.
- They release **long-lived greenhouse gases** through their reservoirs — and **short-cycle vapor** through their turbines.
- And both forms of emission—gas and phase-state—interact with the climate system in fundamentally different, yet mutually reinforcing ways.

One warms the planet slowly, persistently. The other modulates the **thermal engine of the sky**—its latent reservoirs, its pressure systems, its clouds.

The Twin Release of Boreal Dams: A Hidden Climate Driver : This diagram reveals the dual climate impact of hydroelectric reservoirs in cold regions. Beneath the surface, organic matter trapped in anaerobic sediments undergoes methanogenesis, releasing methane (CH_4) — a greenhouse gas over 80× more potent than CO_2. These emissions are highest shortly after reservoir filling, as shown in the lower graph, with Brisay peaking at 2,265 gCO_2e/kWh, Eastmain at 1,000, and Robert-Bourassa stabilizing near 400. Above the surface, a second emission pathway unfolds: warm water discharged from the dam interacts with -30°C Arctic air, releasing massive amounts of latent heat as vapor. This seasonal pulse of energy — uncounted in carbon inventories — travels downwind, contributing to Arctic amplification. Together, these two flows — gas below, vapor above — form an infrastructural climate feedback that standard models rarely capture, but nature already feels.

Together, they form a **thermodynamic twin-release** from a single infrastructural node. And this twin release—**gas below, vapor above**—does not show up in standard emissions inventories.

Because one is counted in lifecycle assessments.
The other is not counted at all.

This is not merely a carbon problem. It is a **pattern recognition problem**. A failure to see the entire system a dam unleashes. So before we follow the vapor upward, we must acknowledge the **carbon memory embedded in every kilowatt**—especially from regions that, by location and design, were always destined to shape the sky.

“What we’ve seen so far is the ignition. What follows is the unfolding...”

The Feedback Engine Behind the Fog: How Boreal Dams Quietly Reshape the Sky

This diagram reveals how hydroelectric dams in cold boreal latitudes quietly reshape the atmosphere. What begins as winter water release becomes a vapor stream injected into Arctic air — air that is cold, dry, and starved of condensation nuclei. Unable to form clouds, the vapor lingers as fog, storing energy as latent heat. That heat travels — invisible, unmeasured — until it condenses far downstream, often over regions like Greenland. The feedback is not linear: vapor alters jetstreams, condensation injects heat aloft, snowpack diminishes, and reservoirs warm from the surface down. What emerges is not weather, but a feedback circuit — driven by infrastructure, amplified by metastability, and now beginning to register at continental scale.

Unfolding the Feedback Code: A Step-by-Step Breakdown of Boreal Vapor Feedbacks

1. Hydroelectric Infrastructure as Heat Pumps

They are not passive.
Not seasonal.
Not silent.

Hydroelectric reservoirs don’t just store water —
they store summer.
And they release it in winter.

Large dams function like **reversed heat pumps**.

They absorb solar radiation across hundreds of square kilometers in summer months, warming the upper reservoir layers. This heat stratifies: cooler layers settle near the surface, warmer waters pool below. Then, in winter — when the air is cold and rivers should lie frozen — the turbines release water from deep within the reservoir.

What flows downstream is not ice, but warmth.
Liquid water, 2–4°C, emerging into -20°C air.
And where there should be a frozen riverbed — there is fog.
A ribbon of vapor, rising each day into Arctic skies.

> *This is the start of a vapor feedback arc — subtle, seasonal, and systemically overlooked.*

The physics here is precise and powerful. When that warmer water enters cold air, **evaporation is maximized**. And every kilogram of evaporated water carries with it **2.45 million joules** of latent heat — energy that doesn't show up in temperature maps, but alters pressure, cloud formation, and the vertical structure of the troposphere.

This is not incidental. It is **cold-season latent heat injection** — a form of energy redistribution that occurs exactly when natural evaporation would be at its lowest.

By maintaining open water flows in the dead of winter, dam operations invert the natural hydrological rhythm.

According to conservative estimates by Kasprzak (2024), Quebec's hydroelectric reservoirs inject **100 to 200 GWh of latent heat per day** into the atmosphere during peak winter discharge. That's the energetic equivalent of 170 to 340 Hiroshima bombs per month — not as fire, but invisibly, as vapor.

To understand the magnitude, we convert this to joules: since 1 GWh equals 3.6×10^{12} joules, this corresponds to 3.6×10^{14} to 7.2×10^{14} joules per day. Now, to visualize that, we compare it to the energy released by the Hiroshima atomic bomb, which is estimated at 6.3×10^{13} joules. Dividing the latent heat by the bomb's energy yield, we find that these dams emit the daily energetic equivalent of 5.7 to 11.4 Hiroshima bombs. Over the course of a month, this adds up to 171 to 343 Hiroshima bombs' worth of latent heat energy,

Latent Heat, Not Carbon

And with that inversion comes a thermodynamic consequence that climate models have yet to fully absorb: **The Arctic winter, once dry and radiatively clear, is now moist and thermally active.**

---

2. Atmospheric Moisture Without Condensation

But it's not just vapor that matters.
It's what happens to it.

In Arctic and sub-Arctic air, **the availability of cloud condensation nuclei (CCNs)** is extremely limited — sometimes an order of magnitude lower than in temperate zones. These tiny particles — dust, sea salt, aerosols, even spores — are essential for vapor to transition into liquid. Without them, water molecules cannot easily nucleate. They drift. They stall.

So when vapor is added **without CCNs**:

• Cloud droplets fail to form efficiently
• Vapor remains uncondensed → no rain → **persistent greenhouse effect**
• Thermal inversion layers form → **trapping heat below the cloud deck**

The result isn't storm or downpour — it's fog. Not fog as we know it from autumn mornings — but as a **climatic state**. It insulates rather than precipitates. It thickens the air, not with rainfall — but with withheld thermodynamics.

Step 2: Vapor Without Rain — How Arctic Skies Trap Energy: In low-CCN Arctic air, even dramatic vapor releases from winter dams fail to form rain. This diagram shows how vapor cools rapidly but remains suspended as fog — unable to condense. The result is a metastable fog layer that holds onto 2.45 MJ/kg of latent heat, not releasing it through rainfall. This is not just moisture. It is trapped thermodynamic potential — a fog that lingers for days, warming the atmosphere invisibly. This is the thermal cradle from which DOMEs — Domes of Moisture Emissions — emerge.

This is where the name reveals the system:

DOMEs — *Domes of Moisture Emissions* — are a new class of hydrometeorological structures formed when engineered vapor escapes into cold, CCN-starved skies. Unlike storms or clouds, DOMEs do not collapse. They linger. They saturate. And they trap heat, not with carbon — but with phase. These atmospheric domes do not thunder. They do not fall. Instead, they **swell invisibly across winter skies**, modulating jetstreams, distorting feedbacks, and turning infrastructure into climate.

These domes swell invisibly across winter skies, modulating jetstreams, disrupting feedback loops, and quietly turning infrastructure into climate.

This is why DOMEs don't show up on radar. They don't reflect. They don't fall.

They saturate. Quietly. Consistently. Changing the thermal structure of the troposphere without a single thunderclap.

Fog, in this context, is not merely weather. It is interference.

- A stalled state between condensation and clarity.
- A kind of atmospheric indecision — where water cannot resolve whether to fall or float.
- In the language of systems: **it is metastability**.

And in the absence of condensation, the latent heat stored during evaporation never gets released. It lingers. Suspended in vapor. Warming the world — invisibly.

The sky doesn't clear.
It holds.

---

3. Electromagnetic Resonance Effects

Now add the electromagnetic dimension.

Massive hydroelectric facilities do more than churn water and wire. They **pulse** — through coils, transformers, and hundreds of kilometers of transmission lines. They operate at **kilovolt frequencies**, feeding synchronized oscillations into the grid — and into the air above.

And this matters — not only because of the power,
but because of the **medium it enters**.

These facilities release vast plumes of **water vapor into ionizable air**, often in environments already rich in **geomagnetic charge**. Especially in the North — where the **ionosphere descends**, and the Arctic atmosphere becomes a **conductor, not an insulator**.

The Arctic air column is different. It's cleaner, drier — and crucially, it is **deficient in cloud condensation nuclei (CCN)**. Measurements suggest **CCN concentrations are often 5 to 10 times lower** than in midlatitudes (Bigg, 1990; Després et al., 2012). Which means: even when vapor is present, clouds do not readily form.

So when warm, CCN-sparse vapor rises from open winter reservoirs — and is simultaneously exposed to LF/VLF electromagnetic fields — the result is a region of **high humidity, low condensation, and persistent fog**.

This diagram illustrates how hydroelectric infrastructure interacts not only with the land and water, but with the atmosphere itself. Vapor released from winter discharge enters a low-CCN, ionizable Arctic air column. Here, low-frequency (LF/VLF) electromagnetic fields — emitted from grid-scale power systems — couple with charged vapor particles. The result: condensation suppression, persistent fog, and inhibited precipitation. This interaction zone delays heat release and reshapes cloud behavior, making DOMEs less visible — yet more influential — in Arctic feedback systems.

- **MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer)** satellite data confirms this.
- Arctic fog belts downstream of hydroelectric complexes are **persistent, seasonal, and widespread** — but do not register as precipitation.
- They occur in corridors where fog now lingers for **50+ days a year**, often centered along reservoir-fed rivers such as the Yenisei, La Grande, and Nelson.

This map reveals the dense cluster of hydroelectric facilities in northern Quebec — including Robert-Bourassa, La Grande, Eastmain, and Brisay — all feeding vapor into the Arctic sky. Positioned beneath one of the clearest atmospheric corridors toward Greenland, this network plays a central role in cold-season vapor injection and electromagnetic resonance. MODIS satellite data has identified persistent fog belts emerging downstream from these dams, tracing invisible but climatically active plumes across James Bay and into the Arctic troposphere.

This creates the perfect atmospheric laboratory for:

• **Low-frequency electromagnetic fields (LF/VLF)** interacting with cloud microphysics
• **Water ionization and electrostatic clustering** near turbine exits
• **Inhibition or modulation of cloud nucleation** through EM field coupling

At Resolute Bay, Canada — where one of the world's most advanced atmospheric radar systems, AMISR, pulses into Arctic skies with megawatt-scale power. It sits along the same corridor where fogbanks persist and snowpacks weaken — not as weather, but as feedback.

And the physics are not speculative.

Taranenko, Inan, and Bell (1993) showed that VLF waves can **penetrate the D-region** of the ionosphere, triggering:

• **Electron heating**
• **Recombination anomalies**
• **Increased conductivity in the upper troposphere**

Svensmark et al. (2021) further demonstrated that **ion-induced nucleation** governs a significant fraction of cloud particle formation. Even subtle changes in ambient charge or field density — including those from ground-based infrastructure — can shift atmospheric clarity.

In short:

> **The water vapor is the mass.**
> **The EM field is the signal.**
> Together, they form a tuning fork — co-modulating the behavior of clouds, fog, and precipitation efficiency.

And because Arctic air lacks CCN — but contains **enormous surface-area-to-volume ratios** for vapor — the system becomes **metastable: humid, non-condensing, and prone to radiative trapping.**

This is why some DOMEs resist dissipation. Why inversion layers form more frequently over open winter water. Why fogbanks stretch hundreds of kilometers, yet rainfall stays absent. And why the climate effects remain **invisible to radar**, yet palpable in snowpack loss and Arctic amplification.

> This isn't merely a hydrological story.
> It's an atmospheric one.
> And we've barely started drawing its signal curve.

---

4. Feedbacks and Lock-In Mechanisms

Once this system is active, it does not merely persist.
It **deepens**.
It **embeds**.
It **feeds itself**.

Fog layers settle over frozen land like a thermal quilt. They lower surface **albedo**, absorbing solar radiation that snow would once have deflected. The planet darkens—not in color, but in memory.

Open water, now present in months it should not be, prevents the formation of **seasonal snowpack**.

No white spring.
No radiant bounce.
Just absorption.

Beneath the reservoirs, another shift begins: **Warming strengthens the thermocline**, the invisible wall between surface and depth. This thermocline slows **vertical mixing**, preventing colder bottom waters from reaching the surface.

> And when cold water no longer surfaces, it no longer cools the air.
> The **stored heat remains entrained**, protected by stratification.
> So winter discharge becomes warmer.
> Vapor emission rises.
> And the loop restarts—this time, stronger.

The cycle is neither random nor gradual. It is a **locked feedback system**—one that behaves less like weather and more like a recursive algorithm:

It contains:

- **Memory** → Heat stored in layers
- **Latency** → Effects revealed after time
- **Amplification** → Each round intensifies the next

> ***Warm discharge → Vapor in cold air → Fog → Lower albedo → Surface warming → Reservoir stratification → Warmer winter discharge → Loop restarts, amplified.***

This diagram visualizes the recursive thermodynamic loop triggered by winter dam discharge. Warm water entering Arctic air initiates thermal fog formation and surface warming, reducing snowpack and albedo. As vapor uplifts, it becomes entrained in the jetstream, condenses over polar ice sheets, and releases latent heat into the upper troposphere. This heat pulse activates a self-sustaining "latent engine," which traps more warmth in the reservoir via stratification. The result: warmer discharge in the next

cycle, and a runaway loop that needs no new emissions to persist — only memory, moisture, and time.
The feedback is **nonlinear**, exhibiting **memory**, **acceleration**, and **latency**.
Each cycle strengthens the next, until external forcing is no longer needed.

And the moment the vapor load exceeds local capacity— it lifts.

Fog banks rise. Moisture climbs into higher altitudes, where it becomes **entrained by the jetstream**. From there, it moves—fast, invisible, guided not by gravity but by pressure:

→ Across **Hudson Bay**, into **Greenland.**
→ Across the **Kara and Laptev Seas**, into **Siberia**
→ Down into the **Pacific corridor**, spiraling storms into new geometries

These are not meteorological anomalies. They are hydrological exports—encoded by infrastructure, translated by vapor, and **delivered by jetstream**.